

Euler-Lagrange

$$I = \int_a^b f(g, g') dx$$

Is extremised when

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta g} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta g'} \right)$$

Examples:

- a) Soap bubble- surface tension acts to minimise surface area
- b) Hamilton's principle in classical mechanics in 1d example we recovered  $f=ma$

Generalisations

- 1) Integrals in more than 1 dimension e.g.

$$I = \int f \left( g(x), \frac{\delta g}{\delta x_1}, \frac{\delta g}{\delta x_2}, \dots \right) dx_1, dx_2 \dots$$

$$\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta g} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i} \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta \left( \frac{\delta g}{\delta x_i} \right)} \right)$$

Summation over repeated index is implied

- 2) If there are more fields,  $g$ , e.g.  $f(g, h, g', h')$ , we have Euler Lagrange equations for each one.

If the fields are  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \dots g_m$

We have

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta g_a} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i} \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta \left( \frac{\delta g_a}{\delta x_i} \right)} \right)$$

This is  $m$  equations for  $a=1, \dots, m$

Example:

A real particle physics Lagrangian

$$L = \left( \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x^i} \right) - m^2 \phi^2$$

$i=0,1,2,3$

$$x_i = g_{ij} x^j$$

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We will get our equations of motion by extremising

$$\int L d^4x$$

i.e. integrate over whole space-time

Now just put this into Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \left( \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_i} \right)} \right)$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} = -2m^2 \phi$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \left( \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_i} \right)} = 2 \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x^i}$$

-write it out long hand, or think of

$$\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_i} \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x^i} = g^{ij} \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_i} \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x_j}$$

So Euler-Lagrange

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow -2m^2\phi &= \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i} \left( 2 \frac{\delta\phi}{\delta x^i} \right) \\ \Rightarrow -m^2\phi &= \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \frac{\delta}{\delta x_1} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^1} + \frac{\delta}{\delta x_2} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta}{\delta x_3} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^3} \right) \phi \\ &= \left( \frac{\delta^2}{\delta t^2} - \frac{\delta^2}{\delta x^2} - \frac{\delta^2}{\delta y^2} - \frac{\delta^2}{\delta z^2} \right) \phi \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{\left( \frac{\delta^2}{\delta t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right) \phi} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(\*)

This is the Klein-Gordon equation

Consider plane-wave solutions

$$\phi = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} - i\omega t}$$

Subbing into (\*),

$$[-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k} + m^2]\phi = 0$$

For this to be a solution we need  $\omega^2 = |\mathbf{k}|^2 + m^2$

$$\text{c.f. } E^2 = p^2 + m^2$$

The Klein-Gordon equation describes relativistic scalar particles of mass  $m$ .

Notes

i)  $L = \left( \frac{\delta\phi}{\delta x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\delta\phi}{\delta x^i} \right) - m^2\phi^2$

Looks (a bit) like KE-PE

ii)  $m^2\phi^2$  term gives the mass to the particle

Differential Equations

3) Series solutions (Frobenius' method)

$$\text{Consider } y'' + P(x)y' + Q(x)y = 0$$

(\*\*)

[linear, 2nd order, homogeneous (no RHS)]

Some definitions

If as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ :  $P, Q$  finite  $\rightarrow x_0$  regular point

If  $(x - x_0)P, (x - x_0)^2Q$  finite  $\rightarrow x_0$  is regular singular point

If  $(x - x_0)P$  or  $(x - x_0)^2Q$  diverge  $\rightarrow x_0$  is irregular singular point

Fuch's theorem

If  $x_0$  is regular or regular singular there exists at least one power series solution to

(\*\*)

Method

Assume a solution of the form

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} C_n (x - x_0)^{n+\sigma}$$

$C_0 \neq 0$

Sub into (x) and expand. Then set coefficients to ensure each power of  $x - x_0$  vanishes

Example. consider a power series solution to  $y'' + y = 0$  about  $x = 0$

Set

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} c_n x^{n+\sigma}$$

And sub in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} C_n ((n + \sigma)(n + \sigma - 1)x^{n+\sigma-2} + x^{n+\sigma}) = 0$$

Write out sum

$$c_0\sigma(\sigma - 1)x^{\sigma-2} + c_1(\sigma + 1)(\sigma)x^{\sigma-1} + c_2(\sigma + 2)(\sigma + 1)x^{\sigma} + c_0x^{\sigma} \\ + x^{n+\sigma}c_{n+2}(n + \sigma + 2)(n + \sigma + 1) + c_nx^{n+\sigma} = 0$$

For the whole thing to vanish, we need the coefficients of each power of  $x$  to vanish.

$$\Rightarrow c_0 \sigma(\sigma - 1) = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma = 0, \text{ or } \sigma = 1 \text{ as } c_0 \neq 0$$

$$c_1(\sigma + 1)\sigma = 0$$

$$c_{n+2}(n + \sigma + 2)(n + \sigma + 1) + c_n = 0$$

i) Indicial equation: Look at the lowest power of x. Remember  $c_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma(\sigma - 1) = 0$  or  $\sigma = 0$

ii) Next order: We need  $c_1(\sigma + 1)\sigma = 0$

Options:

$$\text{If } \sigma = 1 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$$

$$\text{If } \sigma = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 \text{ is not determined}$$

-Corresponds to mixing in an arbitrary amount of the other sol'n

In order to separate the two solutions, we consider  $c_1 = 0$

iii) General power

$$c_{n+2}(n + 2 + \sigma)(n + 1 + \sigma) + c_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{n+2} = -\frac{c_n}{(n + 2 + \sigma)(n + 1 + \sigma)}$$

Two solutions

1)  $\sigma = 0$

$$c_{n+2} = -\frac{c_n}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}; y = c_0 \left\{ 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots \right\}$$

$$= c_0 \cos(x)$$

2)  $\sigma = 1$

$$c_{n+2} = -\frac{c_n}{(n + 2)(n + 3)}; y = c_0 \left\{ x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots \right\}$$

$$= c_0 \sin(x)$$

Comments

In this case, both solutions converge for all x.

(\*) we have two power law solutions

Example 2.

$$y'' + \frac{y'}{r} + \left( k^2 - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2} \right) y = 0 \text{ about } x = 9$$

This is Bessel's equation- arises whenever you work in cylindrical polars. Recalling the definitions  $r=0$  is a regular singular point  $\Rightarrow$  we expect one series solution

Try

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} c_n r^{n+\sigma}$$

Bang the guess into the equation

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} c_n \{ (n + \sigma)(n + \sigma - 1)r^{n+\sigma-2} + (n + \sigma)r^{n+\sigma-2} - \nu^2 r^{n+\sigma-2} + k^2 r^{n+\sigma} \} = 0$$

Rearrange in terms of powers of r

$$\Rightarrow r^{\sigma-2}(r^2 - \nu^2)c_0 + r^{\sigma-1}((\sigma + 1)^2 - \nu^2)c_1 + r^{\sigma}(c_2((\sigma + 2)^2 - \nu^2) + c_0 k^2) + \dots$$

$$+ r^{n+\sigma}(c_{n+2}[(\sigma + n + 2)^2 - \nu^2] + c_n k^2) + \dots$$

Again work power by power.

Indicial equation:  $\sigma^2 = \nu^2 \Rightarrow \sigma = \pm \nu$

$r^{\sigma-1}$  term:  $c_1((\sigma + 1)^2 - \nu^2) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$  in general

General term: recurrence relation

$$c_{n+2}[(\sigma + n + 2)^2 - \nu^2] + c_n k^2 = 0 \Rightarrow c_{n+2} = \frac{k^2 c_n}{(\sigma + n + 2)^2 - \nu^2}$$

Solutions

$$y = r^{\nu} \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2 r^2}{2(2 + 2\nu)} + \frac{k^4 r^4}{\dots} + \dots \right\}$$

$$y = r^{-\nu} \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2 r^2}{2(2 - 2\nu)} + \frac{k^4 r^4}{2(2 - \nu) + (4 - 2\nu)} + \dots \right\}$$

In the  $\sigma = -\nu$  case, the determinant factor in the recurrence relation is

$$(n + 2 - \nu)^2 - \nu^2 = (n + 2)(n + 2 - 2\nu)$$

As  $n$  is even in our expansion, this vanishes if  $\nu$  is an integer

$\Rightarrow$  if  $\nu$  is an integer the coefficients of the  $\sigma = \nu$  solution blow up  $\Rightarrow$  bad!

For  $\nu = \text{integer}$ , we only have one solution

For  $\nu \neq \text{integer}$  at large  $n$  we have

$$c_{n+2} \sim -\frac{c_n}{n^2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  convergent for all  $r$ .

### A second solution

In situations (such as  $\nu = \text{integer}$  case above) where we have only 1 solution  $\Rightarrow$  2nd solution doesn't have a power series expansion about  $r=0$

$\Rightarrow$  try a function of the form

$$y - \ln x \left( \sum c_n x^{n+\sigma} \right) + \sum d_n x^{n+\sigma}$$

Subbing in to our equation gives 2 types of term. If the  $\ln(x) \left( \sum c_n x^{n+\sigma} \right)$  isn't hit by a derivative, we have  $\ln(x)$  where our equation is  $\hat{L}y = 0$

If a derivative hits the  $h(x)$  we have powers of  $x$

The other class of term is

$$\sum_n \tilde{c}_n x^{n+\sigma} + \hat{L} \left( \sum d_n x^{n+\sigma} \right)$$

-coefficients are determined by  $c_n$

If we are to have solution, both sets of terms must vanish independently

If  $\ln(x) \hat{L} \left( \sum c_n x^{n+\sigma} \right)$  is to vanish. We must set  $\sum c_n x^{n+\sigma}$  to be the original power series solution

The remaining power series terms are then determined order by order as before

2nd solution =  $\ln(x)$ (first solution) + (different power series)